

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT :
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

TUESDAY, THE 11TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 20TH BHADRA 1929

RP.No. 845 of 2007()

AGAINST THE ORDER IN CRP.753/2007 Dated 14/08/2007

.....
REVIEW PETITIONER/RESPONDENT/DECREE HOLDER

K.ALIKUNJU, S/O KUNHI KOCHU HAJI,
AGED 61 YEARS, KORANIYIL HOUSE,
THAIKKATTUKARA, ALUVA TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.K.S.HARIHARAPUTHRAN
SRI.GEORGE MATHEW

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONERS/JUDGMENT DEBTORS

1. PATHUMMA, W/O VEERANKUTTY,
PATTARAYIL VEEDU, PURAYAR DESOM, ALUVA P.O.
2. BASHEER, S/O VEERANKUTTY,
PATTARAYIL VEEDU, PARAYAR DESOM,
ALUVA P.O.
3. ABDUL SALAM, S/O VEERANKUTTY,
PATTARAYIL VEEDU, PURAYAR DESOM,
ALUVA P.O.
4. SULEKHA, D/O VEERANKUTTY,
PATTARAYIL VEEDU, PURAYAR DESOM,
ALUVA P.O.
5. NABIYA, D/O VEERANKUTTY,
PATTARAYIL VEEDU, PURAYAR DESOM, ALUVA P.O.
6. RAMLA D/O VEERANKUTTY,
PATTARAYIL VEEDU, PURAYAR DESOM, ALUVA P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.S.SHYAM

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 11/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

M.N.Krishnan, J.
=====

R.P.No.845 of 2007
in
C.R.P.No.753 of 2007
=====

Dated this the 11th day of September, 2007.

ORDER

This is an application to review the order passed by me on 14th August, 2007. I had considered the entire matter in detail and I have arrived at a decision. There is nothing inaccurate on the face of the record or anything which the review petitioner was not in a position to bring to my notice in spite of due diligence at that point of time. I had already entered a finding and given my views on the points raised and such views cannot be changed in a petition for review under Order XLVII Rule 1 of Code of Civil Procedure. So, the Review Petition is dismissed.

M.N.Krishnan,
Judge.